UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,618	09/08/2006	Shingo Chiba	050810 6835	
	7590 04/08/200 T <b>OS &amp; HANSON</b> , LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, I Suite 400		TON, ANABEL		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/560,6	18	CHIBA ET AL.		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		ANABEL	M. TON	2875		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with ti	he correspondence a	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no er unication. tutory period will apply and v vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply to vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	PION. The timely filed  from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊡ This action is i or allowance excep	non-final. t for formal matters,	-	ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co				
	-					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. red if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 C		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P <sup>*</sup> nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	ГО-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/560,618 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,994,455. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons:
  - Claim 1 of the instant invention discloses LED drive circuitry mounted in the base, a cover coupled to the base so as to cover the circuitry. Although claim 1 mentions a coupling portion formed on the base to be coupled with the cover and claim 1 of the '455 reference does not mention a coupling portion, this limitation is considered to be obvious since a connecting/coupling portion is necessary so as connect the cover to the base, likewise with the mating coupling portion formed on the cover so as to connect it to the base. Furthremore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/560,618 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

addition of "for coupling one LED lamp module to another LED lamp module in a vertical direction" is considered to be an obvious function of the coupling portion since the lamp module is located on the upper side.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 6-15 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/28/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although applicant has amended claim 1 to recite "for coupling one LED lamp module to another LED lamp module in a vertical direction" for the reasons stated above, a Terminal Disclaimer continues to be deemed necessary. For these reasons the rejection is made final.

## Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2875

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANABEL M. TON whose telephone number is (571)272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anabel M Ton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875

/Anabel M Ton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2875